

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

08 AUG 14 PM 9:30  
Time Stamp for  
Clerk's Office File StampCLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
Whelan

TO:  U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE /  U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
 FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/12/2008  
BY: DEPUTY

CASE NO.: 07cv2272 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Elasali

CASE TITLE: Elasali v. Sun Diego, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Amended Request for Extension of Time

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Local Rule</i>	<i>Discrepancy</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		<u>OTHER: Case Closed; 5.2 Missing proof of service</u>

Date forwarded: 8/13/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: THOMAS J. WHELANBy: Law ClerkDated: 8/14/08cl. filer  
gsv

1 Noor Eddine ELASALI  
2 PO Box 84764  
3 SD CA 92138  
4 619 414 8723

REJECTED

5  
6 United States, District Court  
7 Southern District of California  
8  
9

10 Noor Eddine ELASALI  
11 Plaintiff  
12 ✓.

13 Sureide Charter Inc, DBA San Diego  
14 Charters Co., Rich Miles, Scott  
15 McLeod, John Jewell, Lorenzo Ortiz  
16 Lorraine Tokarz, Doss 1-100

Case No. 07-cv-02272 W JMA

Plaintiff Noor Eddine ELASALI  
Amended Request  
For Extension of Time

18 Plaintiff Noor Eddine ELASALI request that the  
19 court amend previous request for extension of  
20 time to 10/1/08. Because it is complicated  
21 to proceed as prose and also time will help  
22 to retain an attorney since I have contacted several  
23 of them recently again.

25 Dated: August 11, 2008

R. Elasal  
Noor Eddine ELASALI  
Plaintiff pro se